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Tracking aid flows to reproductive health

• Why are we tracking?
  – To encourage accountability
  – To improve the coordination, efficiency and impact of aid
  – To inform investments

• How are we tracking?
  – Manually re-coded all disbursement records from OECD CRS against a framework
  – Applied allocation factors based on literature reviews and population, epidemiological and expenditure data

• Who are we tracking?
  – All donors reporting to the OECD CRS database
  – A subset of 37 donors to 74 Countdown priority countries
Defining reproductive health expenditures

Reproductive health (RH) expenditures

\[ \text{RH} = R^* \text{(additional reproductive health activities)} \]

\[ e.g. \text{ expenditures on family planning, sexual health and sexually transmitted infections, including HIV} \]

\[ + \]

\[ \text{MNH (maternal and newborn health activities)} \]

\[ e.g. \text{ expenditures on antenatal and postnatal care, treatment and preventive services for the newborn infant} \]
Worldwide aid flows to reproductive health: the impact of R*

Millions of constant 2010$
Aid flows to reproductive health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WORLDWIDE (all donors to all countries)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA to R*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,461</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% inc/dec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA to MNH</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>1,283</td>
<td>1,443</td>
<td>1,525</td>
<td>1,623</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>2,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% inc/dec</td>
<td>-21%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA to RH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,579</td>
<td>5,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% inc/dec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COUNTDOWN PRIORITY COUNTRIES (a subset of donors to 74 Countdown priority countries)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA to R*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,549</td>
<td>2,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% inc/dec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA to MNH</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>1,127</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>1,456</td>
<td>1,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% inc/dec</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA to RH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,066</td>
<td>4,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% inc/dec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Millions of constant 2010$
- Exc. debt forgiveness
- Subset of 31 Countdown donors over 2003-10 and 37 donors over 2009-10
Breakdown of aid flows to reproductive health to Countdown priority countries in 2010

R*
Family planning, STIs, and sexual health

R* (HIV specific)

MNH
Breakdown by donor types & RH subcategories, 2010

- Bilateral aid agencies
- Multilaterals
- Global health initiatives

- R* (minus HIV)
- R* (HIV specific)
- MNH

Millions of constant 2010$
## 2010 top donors & recipients: impact of HIV funding on ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donors</th>
<th>ODA to RH, including HIV (Ranking)</th>
<th>ODA to RH, minus HIV (Ranking)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>$2762 million (1)</td>
<td>$802 million (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Fund</td>
<td>$888 million (2)</td>
<td>$314 million (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>$284 million (3)</td>
<td>$205 million (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipients</td>
<td>ODA to RH, including HIV (Ranking)</td>
<td>ODA to RH, minus HIV (Ranking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>$350 million (1)</td>
<td>$10 million (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>$287 million (2)</td>
<td>$89 million (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>$276 million (3)</td>
<td>$81 million (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Targeting of aid flows

ODA to reproductive health per woman aged 15-49 years

Adult prevalence of HIV infection

Cameroon
Equatorial Guinea
Liberia
Egypt
Concluding questions

• Is aid increasing?

• Is aid effective?

• Are donor transparency & accountability improving?
Recommendations

• Continue the trend analysis

• Analyse costs and resources needed to scale-up priority interventions

• Identify what areas need to be supported

• Coordinate international development aid and domestic resources based on country plans

• Invest in reproductive health
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